3.0 WASTE ANALYSIS

[WAC 173-303-806(4), (a), (ii) and (iii), 300]

The Waste Analysis Plan, E-06-04-006 has been included in this submission as Attachment 3-1. The requirements included in Section 3.0 of the Permit Application have been incorporated into the Waste Analysis Plan.

| | | (|
|--|--|---|

EHS&L Document

Waste Analysis Plan

Nature of Changes

| Item | Paragraph | Description | Justification |
|------|----------------------|--|---|
| 1. | Attachment C | Component Center etching process has been discontinued, lead contaminated with Pu removed, and laboratory metal standards added. | Reflect changes in waste management operations. |
| 2. | | | |
| 3. | | | |
| 4. | | | |
| 5. | | | |
| 6. | | | |
| 7. | | | |
| 8. | | | |
| 9. | | | |
| 10. | | | |
| | List Below any Docur | ments, including Forms & Operator A concurrently with this document revis | ids which must be issued sion: |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

This Document contains a total of 33 pages excluding the signature page generated by Documentum, the document control application software.

DOCUMENT REVIEW/APPROVAL/DELETION CHECKLIST

All new and/or revised procedures shall be approved by the change author, cognizant manager(s) of areas affected by the changes, and by applicable manager(s) of any function that approved the previous revision of the document unless responsibility for such approval has been transferred to another organization. Also, the procedure shall be approved by manager(s) of functional organizations that provide technical reviews with the exception of the Training Department. Finally, Document Control shall verify that the required approvals have been properly obtained and that any documents that must be issued concurrently are ready to be issued.

| review. All applicable approvals n | | | D | 1- |
|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Document | Reviews | | Document Approva | IS |
| Purpose/Function of Review | Specify Reviewer(s) (Optional except for change author) | (Check all that apply) | Title of Approver | (Check all that Apply) |
| Document Control (Automatic) | | \square | Document Control (Automatic) | X |
| Change Author | JB Perryman | \boxtimes | Author | M |
| Independent Technical Review | LJ Maas | \boxtimes | | |
| Operability Review(s) | | | Mgr, Richland Operations ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Conversion Recovery | | | Mgr, Uranium Conversion & Recovery Operations ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Ceramics | | H | Mgr, Ceramic Operations ⁽¹⁾ | П |
| Rods | | IП | | |
| Bundles | | | Mgr, Rods & Bundles ⁽¹⁾ | П |
| Transportation | | | | |
| Components | | ПП | Mgr, Component Fabrication ⁽¹⁾ | П |
| Maintenance Review | | | Mgr, Maintenance ⁽¹⁾ | ΙĒ |
| Lab Review | | | Mgr, Analytical Services ⁽¹⁾ | Ī |
| EHS&L Review(s) | | | Mgr, EHS&L ⁽²⁾ | |
| Criticality | | | Mgr, Criticality Safety ⁽²⁾ | |
| Radiation Protection | | | | |
| Safety/Security | | | Mgr, Safety, Security & Emergency Preparedness ⁽²⁾ | |
| Emergency Preparedness | | | Emergency Frepareuriess | |
| MC&A | | | | |
| Transportation | | | Mgr, Licensing & Compliance ⁽²⁾ | |
| Environmental | JB Perryman | X | | |
| BWR Product Eng. Review | | | Mgr, BWR Product Engineering | |
| BWR Core Engineering Review | | | Mgr, BWR Core Engineering | |
| Codes and Methods Review | | | Mgr, Codes and Methods | |
| Proj. Eng. & Design Support Review | | | Mgr, Proj. Eng. & Design Support | |
| Quality Review | | | Mgr, Quality | |
| Project & Plant Eng. Review | | | Mgr, Project & Plant Eng. | |
| Purchasing Review | | | Mgr, Purchasing | |
| Others: | | | Mgr, Richland Site/Other | |
| Training & Employee Dev.: (3) | | | Training & Employee Dev. | |

⁽¹⁾Note: If approvals include 2 or more product center managers, the Operations manager can be substituted for the applicable product center managers.

⁽²⁾ Note: If approvals include 2 or more EHS&L functional managers, the EHS&L manager can be substituted for the applicable EHS&L functional managers.

⁽³⁾ Note: Training department review is required for all procedures that require or affect a Learning Plan and if additional training materials or curriculum must be revised before issuing procedure.

| EHS&L Change Impact Evaluation Form | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Document / ECN No*.: E06-04-006 | CI | nange Evaluator: LJ Maas | | | | | | | |
| Does the change potentially impact Criticality Alarm System (CAS) coverage? | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| NRC Pre-Approval E | Evaluation: | | | | | | | | |
| Is NRC Pre-approval (License Amendment) Needed? (Based on "Yes" answer to any of five questions below). (Based on "No" answer to all five questions below). | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | | | | | | | | |
| Does the change create new types of accident sequences that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 10 CFR 70.61 (create high or intermediate consequence events) and that have not previously been described in AREVA NP Inc's ISA Summary? | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| 2. Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which AREVA NP Inc. has no prior experience? | ☐ Yes ☒ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| 3. Does the change remove, without at least an equivalent replacement of the safety function, an item relied on for safety that is listed in the ISA Summary? | ☐ Yes ☒ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| 4. Does the change alter any item relied on for safety, listed in the ISA Summary, that is the sole item preventing or mitigating an accident sequence of high or intermediate consequences? | n ☐ Yes ☒ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| 5. Does the change qualify as a change specifically prohibited by NRC regulation, order or license condition? | d ☐ Yes ☒ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| Actions Required Prior to or Concurrent with | Change Implement | ation Evaluation: | | | | | | | |
| Action | | Explanation | | | | | | | |
| Modification / Addition to CAS system or system coverage documentation | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| 7. Acquire NRC pre-approval (license amendment) | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| 8. Conduct/modify ISA | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| 9. ISA Database Modification | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| Modification of other safety program information / underlying analyses (PHA, RHA, FHA, NCSA, etc.) | ☐ Yes ☒ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |
| Actions required subsequent to Chang | e Implementation E | valuation: | | | | | | | |
| 11. Update safety program information (PHA,RHA,FHA,NCSA, P&ID) | , ☐ Yes ☒ No | If yes, explain: | | | | | | | |

^{*} If this form exists as a part of a document, the document number is not required.

1.0 Introduction

This Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) provides guidelines and direction for the sampling, analysis, characterization, and designation of potentially dangerous waste generated at the AREVA NP Inc. (AREVA) facility in accordance with Washington Department of Ecology Dangerous Waste Regulations (WAC 173-303) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regulations found in 40 CFR Parts 260 through 270. The WAP is implemented for all wastes that may be dangerous, including those from:

- > Waste Generating Sources
 - o Production operations
 - o Maintenance activities
 - o Laboratories
- > Waste Management Activities
 - Satellite accumulation areas
 - Container storage areas

The following sections discuss the evaluation of waste analysis data, sampling and analysis methods, quality assurance, and land disposal restriction (LDR) treatment standards. The Facility Description, which describes AREVA's waste generating and waste management units in detail, may be found Section B of AREVA's Dangerous Waste Part B Permit Application.

2.0 Evaluation of Waste Analysis Data

Selected waste parameters are monitored as necessary to ensure that each dangerous waste is sufficiently characterized for safe and proper management. Because all dangerous wastes managed at the AREVA facility are generated on-site, knowledge of the generating process or activity provides a foundation for characterization and designation information of each waste stream and ensures that compatibility issues due to unknown waste characteristics are essentially eliminated. The waste characterization and designation are based on either direct analytical data or application of process or material knowledge. Characterization includes the identification of dangerous constituents, characteristics, and criteria likely to be associated with a dangerous waste and may include applicable references from published scientific, engineering, or product literature. This complete characterization allows for the designation of a waste as either non-regulated (non-dangerous) solid waste, dangerous waste (DW), mixed waste or extremely hazardous waste (EHW).

2.1 WAP Objective

The objective of using the WAP for waste characterization and designation is to ensure proper handling and disposition of waste material. Dangerous wastes are discharged to the City of Richland's wastewater treatment facility in accordance with an industrial wastewater discharge permit; stored in drums at the container storage area; stored in the Component Chemical Waste Tank, or recycled in special units on-site. In addition, AREVA sends both dangerous waste and mixed waste to commercial treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) facilities. Requirements for disposal at commercial TSD facilities are described in Section 2.3.

2.2 Waste Characterization and Designation

Wastes are identified and segregated at the AREVA facility according to AREVA standard procedures. After a waste is determined to be solid waste, it must be determined if the waste is

a low-level radioactive-contaminated waste and furthermore whether it designates as a chemically dangerous waste. For wastes determined to be either dangerous/low-level radioactive mixed wastes or dangerous wastes, or extremely hazardous wastes, this WAP will be used to determine their ultimate disposition.

The waste designation process (described in WAC 173-303-070 [3]) requires the generator to test the waste in accordance with approved sampling and testing methods (WAC 173-303-110) or apply knowledge of the waste in light of the materials or the process used when such knowledge can be demonstrated to be sufficient for determining whether or not it is designated properly (i.e. process knowledge). Process knowledge can be used to significantly reduce or eliminate the analytical requirements for waste characterization and designation. If a waste is determined to be dangerous, mixed, extremely hazardous, or non-dangerous through the application of process knowledge, no sampling will be required. The procedure for formal waste designation is included as Attachment A.

2.3 Performance Evaluation

AREVA conducts a number of internal audits at frequencies that range from monthly to annually. Audit subject areas include dangerous waste management units, plant effluent monitoring, environmental monitoring, etc. If findings are identified during these audits, AREVA policy is to issue a Condition Report per the internal WebCap Corrective Action System. Condition reports entered into WebCap are assigned a significance level and an issue owner. The level of problem evaluation is keyed to significance level; evaluation results are documented within WebCap. Any identified corrective actions are assigned owners and tracked to completion within the system.

2.4 Commercial TSD Requirements

Commercial TSD facilities require information available from process knowledge (e.g., feed chemicals, reactions, by-products and intermediates, and potential sources of contamination) and a thorough characterization/designation of each waste to ensure proper handling and disposition. This characterization may include the identification of listed solvents, discarded or off-specification chemical products, other organic compounds, and radioactivity levels of the waste. Dangerous waste characteristics and criteria information including flash point, pH, reactivity, toxicity, and persistence data may also be required.

Commercial TSD facilities also require a waste-specific profile (Attachment B). This profile describes the physical properties of the waste (e.g., phase and liquid content), specifies the chemical constituents of concern, and gives a normal range for the concentration of each chemical constituent. Normal ranges in constituent concentrations are specified so that frequently generated waste streams may fluctuate within defined ranges without being classified as off-specification or non-conforming wastes. Completed profile sheets are reviewed to ensure accuracy with corresponding AREVA waste management direction files. Prior to conducting business with any TSD facility, a copy of either their RCRA Part B Permit or other applicable permits/licenses must be reviewed by Licensing & Compliance.

2.5 Waste Data Table

The Waste Data Table, Attachment C, summarizes all necessary waste analysis components as required by regulation and facility procedures, and provides sufficient information to ensure that AREVA properly manages each waste stream. Each routinely generated dangerous waste stream at the AREVA facility has been included on the table, which also includes the following information:

<u>General Information</u> - a general description of the waste and the process or activity generating the waste.

<u>Basis for Hazard Classification</u> – laboratory analysis, field analysis, process knowledge, vendor material safety data sheet (MSDS).

Waste Code - State or federal waste code numbers.

<u>Hazardous Properties of Waste</u> – a listing of the hazardous characteristics or criteria of the waste to ensure safe and proper handling.

<u>Chemical Constituents</u> – a listing of the chemical constituents in the waste.

<u>WMD Number</u> – corresponding Waste Management Direction (WMD) number for that specific waste stream. The WMD is AREVA's waste designation form and each file will contain pertinent waste designation information.

<u>LDR Treatment</u> – Land Disposal Restriction (LDR) treatment standards and final disposition of the waste.

3.0 Sampling and Analysis Methods

Numerous types of sampling equipment and techniques are used in collecting representative samples of wastes. The equipment and technique selected depend upon the physical nature of the waste, the location from which the sample is to be taken, and other constraints (e.g., health and safety precautions, required sample volume, homogeneity/stratification effects). The following sections describe recommended sampling equipment and techniques for various types of waste materials and waste locations. The information in the following section is for reference whenever a new, different, or potentially dangerous waste stream is generated.

3.1 Sampling Equipment

Possible uses of the equipment described below are identified in Attachment D for various waste types and waste locations. Special circumstances or conditions may warrant the use of alternative or modified equipment or methods. Detailed descriptions for the use of each of these sampling devices is presented in SW-846 Chapter 9, Sampling Plans, and in Samplers and Sampling Procedures for Hazardous Waste Streams (EPA-600/2-80-018).

3.1.1 Free-Flowing Liquids and Slurries

- Composite Liquid Waste Sampler (Coliwasa) The Coliwasa is a device used to sample free-flowing liquids and slurries contained in drums, shallow tanks, pits, and similar containers. This device provides a representative sample of both layered (several immiscible phases) and homogeneous liquid materials. The Coliwasa consists of a glass, plastic, or metal tube equipped with an end closure that can be opened and closed while the tube is submerged in the material to be sampled.
- Weighted Bottle The weighted bottle samples liquids and free-flowing slurries; however, stratification effects cannot be adequately handled with this device, so it is more useful for homogeneous materials. This sampler consists of a glass or plastic bottle, sinker, stopper, and line that is used to lower, raise, and open the bottle. The specifications for constructing a weighted bottle sampler are contained in ASTM Methods D270 and E300.

- Dipper A dipper samples liquids and free-flowing slurries. The dipper consists of a glass, plastic, or stainless steel beaker that may or may not be clamped to the end of a pole of suitable length and material that serves as the handle.
- ➤ General sample containers laboratory sample containers may be used to directly collect samples from process lines, proportional samplers, and sample ports. These containers (usually glass or plastic) are available from the selected contract analytical laboratory.
- Other Equipment Additional equipment is available for a variety of sampling situations. This equipment includes bailers, suction pumps, and positive displacement pumps, all of which may be used to sample liquids in specific situations.

3.1.2 Solids

- > Thief A thief is used to sample dry granules or powdered wastes whose particle diameter is less than one-third the width of the slots. Thiefs consist of two slotted concentric tubes, usually made of stainless steel or brass. The outer tube has a conical pointed tip that permits the sampler to penetrate the material being sampled. The inner tube is rotated to open and close the sampler. Thiefs are available at most laboratory supply stores.
- Auger An auger samples hard or packed solid wastes or soil. Augers consist of sharpened spiral blades attached to a hard metal central shaft. Augers are generally available at hardware and laboratory supply stores.
- Scoops and Shovels Scoops and shovels are used to sample granular or powdered material in bins, shallow containers, and conveyor belts. Scoops are available at laboratory supply houses. Flat-nosed shovels are available at hardware stores.
- Other Equipment Additional equipment may be used as required for a variety of specific sampling situations.

3.1.3 Materials of Construction

As noted in the descriptions of the various types of sampling equipment, there are numerous materials of construction available (e.g., stainless steel, glass, plastics). In most circumstances, the material of construction is dictated by the properties of the waste being sampled. In general, the materials that provide the greatest chemical compatibility are stainless steel, glass, and Teflon. Stainless steel is most suitable for sampling solids and in-situ soils, whereas glass and Teflon are highly suitable for sampling liquids.

Choice of material may be accomplished through published compatibility information (equipment catalogs or material compatibility charts), equipment vendor technical support, or analytical laboratory technical support.

3.2 Sampling Methods

In order to ensure proper management of wastes at the AREVA facility, samples that are representative of the waste material shall be collected. Representative samples should exhibit the average properties and constituents of the whole waste stream or material. Numerous or composite samples may be necessary to define the average properties and constituents for a waste material. At least two samples shall be pulled from each waste material, even if only one of the samples is intended to be submitted for analysis. Guidance for obtaining representative samples from containers, process pipe sampling ports, and other miscellaneous containers is

provided in the following discussions. Where applicable, the methods referenced in WAC 173-303-110(2)(a) will be followed.

3.2.1 Container Sampling

Container (e.g., 5-gallon cans, 55-gallon drums) sampling occurs on an infrequent basis due to the preferred method of sampling waste being at the point of generation. However, container resampling is occasionally performed at the request of waste treatment/disposal companies for the purpose of land disposal restriction (LDR) verification or other company-specific waste profile requirements.

Samples taken for the purpose of LDR verification or waste-specific profile information are generally discrete samples, i.e. one sample per container. If composite sampling is requested, the contents of selected containers are mixed in equal volumes to obtain a representative sample from the waste stream. Standard documentation procedures are followed (see Section 3.6) to ensure proper labeling and handling when transferring from the sampling location to the laboratory. See Section 6.0 for container tracking protocol.

Use of a sampling device such as the Coliwasa (glass) can help determine if a container's contents are homogenous or otherwise stratified. The results of this evaluation can be used to determine whether the contents of a container require mechanical homogenization (mixing, stirring, etc.) or specific phase partition sampling to effectively represent the entire waste volume within the container.

3.2.2 Process and Waste Line Sampling

Most process and waste lines at the AREVA facility are provided with sampling ports. These ports are generally 1/4-inch stainless steel tubing with valve arrangements that allow the material in the line to be sampled directly. Other process or waste lines are equipped with proportional samplers. To manually obtain a sample from a process or waste line, the following procedure should be followed.

- > Ensure that the sample container is empty and clean prior to sample collection.
- Eliminate any visible, easily removable solids that may have accumulated or precipitated/crystallized at the discharge end of the sampling port. This will prevent possible contamination of the sample.
- If possible, purge the sampling port line for one to two sample line volumes. This may not be possible in many circumstances, since a waste will be generated and disposal may be difficult. If the sample to be taken is of sufficient volume, this small amount of waste present in the port line may be of little consequence. If there are numerous samples to be taken from the same port, take the larger volume sample first, thereby reducing the effect of the non-purged waste.
- Collect the sample directly from the sample port into the sample container. Only in cases where it is physically impractical to do this should the sample be collected into an intermediate container. This will further reduce the chance of introducing contamination.
- For lines equipped with proportional samplers, remove the collection bottle when full and transfer a portion of the material into a sample bottle.

3.2.3 Miscellaneous Materials Sampling

When sampling contaminated items such as rags, protective equipment, and other miscellaneous materials, it must be determined if the material can be homogenized into a

uniform or nearly uniform mass without affecting the integrity of the sample. If the material can be homogenized, it is done prior to sampling. Following homogenization, a grab sample is taken to retrieve the material. The actual tool utilized for sample collection will depend on the sample form (e.g., fine granular material, chips, etc.).

In situations when the materials to be sampled do not lend themselves readily to homogenization, sampling is aimed at retrieving a portion of the material that would be expected to exhibit a representative degree of contamination. Criteria used to extract a representative sample may include visual examination, operator input, process knowledge, professional experience, etc. The overall intent of the sampling is to obtain a sample that is representative of the entire waste stream. Sampling performed to meet the requirements of this plan will either be conducted or supervised by a qualified dangerous waste specialist.

3.2.4 Rinsate Sampling

To determine the effectiveness of sampling equipment decontamination procedures, it may be helpful to collect a rinsate sample. Sampling equipment is generally decontaminated by scrubbing with a biodegradable commercial detergent (e.g., Liquinox or equivalent), followed by a deionized water rinse. The rinsate sample can then be collected by rinsing the equipment again with deionized water and collecting this water into a sample container. Analytical parameters for the decontamination sample should be the same as those for the sampled material for which the device was previously used.

3.2.5 Additional Sampling/Resampling

If for any reason the samples are damaged, broken, custody is compromised, hold time exceeded, or other circumstances occur which require additional sampling to be performed, resampling shall be conducted in a manner that is consistent with the guidelines outlined in this WAP. It is preferable to take duplicate back-up samples at the time of initial sampling. The duplicate samples must be held in a secured location following chain-of-custody protocols. If it becomes necessary for the duplicate samples to be analyzed, it should be noted on the chain-of-custody form. All applicable hold times and preservation techniques must be adhered to if analysis of duplicate samples is required.

3.3 Sample Containers and Handling

In general, wide-mouth sample containers (usually plastic) will be used for solids. The analytical laboratory will also provide this information when sample containers are ordered for an upcoming sampling event. When contacting the laboratory, extra sample containers should be ordered as a contingency (e.g., broken bottles, additional samples to be taken). Guidelines for sample containers, preservatives, and holding times can be obtained from a contract analytical laboratory or from SW-846.

Prior to the actual sampling event, sample labels should be prepared and affixed to the appropriate sample container. In many cases, sample containers will arrive from the laboratory with sample labels already on the container. These labels will identify the analytical parameters and any added preservatives. If an AREVA sample label will be used, it should be completed and placed on the sample container directly over the laboratory label.

The sample container should be placed immediately in a shipping container (e.g., cooler with ice) if it is to be analyzed by an offsite laboratory (ice is not necessary for some metals and radionuclide analysis, consult with offsite laboratory). Prior to shipping, the cooler should be filled with sufficient packing material to prevent damage to the sample containers. The necessary paperwork should be completed (see Sections 3.6.2 - Sample Field Notes, and

Section 3.6.4 - Chain-of-Custody Record) and the Chain-of-Custody Record should be placed in the shipping container. The shipping container should then be sealed (i.e., custody seal - Section 3.6.5), taped, and shipped for overnight delivery to the designated analytical laboratory. Occasionally, samples may be held in on-site refrigerators if they are locked and chain-of-custody procedures are followed.

Specific guidelines apply to the handling and shipment of radioactive samples. The specific AREVA facility requirements for collecting and shipping radioactive samples are detailed in the AREVA procedures available at the facility. In general, the following requirements must be met:

- > samples must be collected in a controlled area,
- > samples must be surveyed by a AREVA health and safety technician (HST) before leaving the controlled area,
- Transportation and Logistics, AREVA's packaging and shipping organizations, will complete the required paperwork and properly label and mark the sample package.

3.4 Frequency of Analyses

Chemical waste analysis and/or the application of process knowledge for characterization, designation, and waste profiling will be performed whenever a new waste is generated, if a process change significantly alters the characteristics of an existing waste, for recertification of a waste for disposal at a commercial TSD facility, or for verification purposes at the request of Licensing & Compliance or other pertinent plant personnel. The recertification of dangerous waste required by a commercial TSD facility may include annual chemical waste analysis for verification of existing waste profiles.

3.5 Analytical Methods

Testing methods used will comply with the requirements as included in WAC 173-303-110. Quality control procedures specified by the testing method or an approved equivalent method must be followed in order for the analytical result to be considered valid for designation.

3.6 Documentation

Sample collection and handling are documented through the use of Dangerous Waste Sample Field Notes, Sample Labels, Chain-of-Custody Records, and Custody Seals. These documentation and record-keeping components satisfy the requirements in Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, EPA Publication No. SW 846.

3.6.1 Waste Management Direction Form

The Waste Management Direction Form (Attachment E) is used by Licensing and Compliance personnel for direction on the handling of new or recently changed wastes, to specify and clarify sampling and analytical requirements, to designate the waste, and to identify management requirements (e.g., storage, transportation, disposal). This form is also used by warehousing personnel to ensure proper containers are used as well as correct marking and labeling of the container. The procedure for formal waste designation (Attachment A) shall be followed when completing this form.

3.6.2 Sample Field Notes

Field sampling notes document information pertinent to physical sample collection and field measurements. The field notes may include the following data, as appropriate.

> Sampling site description

- > Waste location (e.g., container, in-situ soil)
- > Waste name on label, if one is present
- > Container numbers
- > Sample description
- > Sampling technique/method and equipment used
- > Field measurements
- > Observations and notes
- > Sketch of sampling site
- > Photographs
- > Changes to field procedures
- Sampler's signature
- Date and time sampled

These notes are recorded in such a manner that sampling details can be reconstructed without relying on the sampler's memory.

3.6.3 Sample Labeling

The Environmental Sample Label, Attachment F, is affixed to the sample container prior to or at the time of sampling. It is used to prevent misidentification of samples and provides sufficient information to identify the sample. The sample label is typically supplied by the laboratory performing the analyses and includes the following information:

- Company name
- > Sample Identification
- > Date sampled
- > Time sampled
- > Parameters to be analyzed
- > Preservatives used

3.6.4 Chain-of-Custody Record

The Chain-of-Custody Record, Attachment G, documents the history of the sample from the time of collection through delivery to the laboratory and final disposition. Chain-of-custody elements address all aspects of sample collection, laboratory analysis, and final analytical data files. A copy of the pertinent pages of the Sample Field Notes may accompany the Chain-of-Custody Record to the laboratory. The Chain-of-Custody Record contains the following:

- Analysis requested
- > Sampler's initials
- > Sampler's signature
- > Sample identification
- Date sampled
- > Date and time of possession
- > Time sampled
- > Signature(s) of other custodians
- Number of Sample containers
- Custodian's organization

Samples are considered to be in a person's custody if they:

- > are in your possession;
- > are in your view, after being in your possession;
- are in your possession and you place them in a secured location; or
- > are in a designated secure area.

The Chain-of-Custody Record is completed after the samples have been taken and are being prepared for shipment to the laboratory. The record is then placed in the sample shipping container.

3.6.5 Custody Seal

The Custody Seal, Attachment H, is used to detect tampering of the samples during shipment to an off-site laboratory. A single custody seal is placed on a sample shipping container such that opening the lid would destroy the seal. It is not necessary to put custody seals on individual sample containers within a larger shipping container provided the samples are always in the custody of the responsible personnel prior to shipment.

3.6.6 Operating Record Requirements

Licensing and Compliance staff are generally responsible for Waste Analysis Plan sampling, analysis, and record keeping. All original documents are kept on file (hard copy or electronic) as part of the Operating Record (required for dangerous waste management facilities).

4.0 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

Appropriate use of data generated under the wide range of analytical conditions encountered requires reliance on the quality control practices incorporated into the sampling and analytical methods and procedures. As such, quality control must be addressed both in the field and in the laboratory. In the field, quality control means taking a representative sample and maintaining sample integrity. A representative sample is taken by personnel proficient in the use of sampling techniques and equipment. Sample integrity is maintained through the use of proper sample-handling practices, custodial procedures, sampling activity documentation, Environmental Sample Label (Attachment F), Chain-of-Custody Record (Attachment G), and Custody Seal (Attachment H).

Another important factor in maintaining sample integrity is the use of proper sample containers and preservation techniques, as well as adherence to applicable holding times. In the laboratory, quality assurance is performed by meeting the requirements of specific procedures found in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods," EPA Publication No. SW 846.

To assist in determining the validity of analytical sampling and its resulting data, established data validation protocols will be followed if required in the applicable Sampling and Analysis Plan. If necessary, validation procedures will be performed by Licensing & Compliance or an outside consultant for verification of the quality of analytical results.

4.1 Laboratory Requirements

AREVA's analytical laboratory is certified by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) to perform several analyses which are commonly used to characterize various forms of waste media. These analyses include fluoride, ammonia as nitrogen, nitrate as nitrogen, chloride, sulfate, and pH. A Quality Assessment Plan for AREVA is maintained by the analytical laboratory to ensure that sample analytical data is of appropriate quality.

Offsite laboratories that are contracted to perform waste analyses for AREVA should be certified by the Washington State Department of Ecology. If a laboratory is not certified with Ecology, the laboratory should maintain a certification within their respective state for any analysis that is performed. A listing of all certifications shall be obtained prior to using an offsite laboratory for waste analysis to ensure that these minimum requirements are met. A Quality Assessment

Plan or overview of the plan must also be obtained from the contracted laboratory prior to any performed analyses.

An analytical parameters table (Attachment I) summarizes the most common waste analyses that are performed by AREVA and contracted laboratories. Detection limits will be specified for each specific project and are subject to matrix interferences and equipment sensitivity. At a minimum, quality control measures will include one or more of the following:

- Blind duplicates are independent samples that are taken at a specified frequency from the same location at the same time but are labeled as different samples. Blind duplicates are used to measure the variation of both laboratory analyses and sample homogeneity.
- > <u>Trip Blanks</u> are sample containers prepared with delonized water and used when sampling volatile organic compounds. Trip blank contamination typically indicates that the source of contamination is the sampling container.
- Equipment rinsate samples are prepared at the end of the sampling activity after the final decontamination of the sampling equipment has been completed. The sampling equipment is rinsed with deionized water, which is collected in a sample bottle and analyzed for the same parameters as the sampling plan requires. Contamination of the sample equipment rinsate indicates that equipment decontamination was not effective.

5.0 LDR Treatment Standards

Wastes resulting from AREVA operations that exceed applicable land disposal restriction (LDR) treatment standards will be sent off site to a commercial TSD facility for treatment prior to disposal, stored on site (in the case of dangerous mixed waste) or, where applicable, treated in tanks per either treatment-by-generator or permit-by-rule guidelines prior to discharge to the Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW). The following is a discussion of LDR protocols.

All containerized dangerous wastes managed at the DWSF are either dispositioned to a commercial TSD facility or stored long term on-site (certain dangerous mixed wastes) until ultimate treatment or disposal can be determined. Containerized wastes that are to be shipped offsite to a commercial TSD facility must be accompanied by a specific waste profile (section 2.3), a completed LDR verification form (Attachment J), and be included as an entry on a Hazardous Waste Manifest (Attachment K). The LDR verification form is supplied by the TSD facility and required prior to shipment of waste. Typically, one LDR verification form is required for each waste stream and the form is updated on an annual basis.

6.0 Container Tracking

All wastes managed at the Dangerous Waste Storage Facility are tracked using an extensive electronic database system based on a Microsoft Access platform. The Solid Waste and Hazardous Waste Container Database contains information specific to each individual container onsite at AREVA and is managed by a Uranium Conversion and Recovery engineer. Input for daily or other routine operations such as drum packaging, movement, and storage is typically performed by technicians from the Uranium Conversion and Recovery group. The database serves as a real-time drum tracking tool and establishes a unique manifest for each waste container which includes information such as chemical contents, total grams uranium, date packed, and storage location.

7.0 References

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1980. Samplers and Sampling Procedures for Hazardous Waste Streams. EPA-600/2-80-018, Washington, D.C.

Solid and Hazardous Waste Container Management Database, AREVA NP Inc.

Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods. SW-846, Third Edition as Amended by Update III (August 1996), Washington, D.C.

Washington Department of Ecology. 1998. Chemical Testing Methods for Designating Dangerous Waste. WDOE 97-407, Olympia, Washington.

Attachment A

Procedure for Formal Waste Designation

1.0 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this document is to serve as a guideline for determining if a waste is to be managed as a dangerous waste, which is a waste that is regulated by either the Washington State Department of Ecology as a dangerous waste or the Environmental Protection Agency as a hazardous waste. This document is to be used as a guide for Licensing and Compliance and is not intended to be an all inclusive duplicate of the waste designation sections included in Ecology's Dangerous Waste Regulations, WAC 173-303. If questions arise during the waste designation process, the applicable section(s) of the Dangerous Waste Regulations should be consulted.

2.0 Responsibilities

Licensing and Compliance has the overall responsibility for designating wastes generated at AREVA. Licensing & Compliance along with Uranium conversion and Recovery Operations, Technical Support has the responsibility to ensure that all radiologically contaminated waste is managed and disposed of properly. It is the responsibility of each person/organization to notify Licensing and Compliance before any new chemical is brought onsite that may result in the generation of a waste, and prior to disposal of any non-routine or uncharacterized waste.

3.0 Instructions

When designating a waste, each section listed below shall be evaluated in order to determine if in fact the waste will be designated as a dangerous waste. The corresponding waste number from each section, if applicable, shall be added to the waste which is being designated. There is no need to evaluate section 5.0 of this procedure if a waste number has been previously assigned. The Waste Management Direction (WMD) form is included as Attachment E.

4.0 Federal (RCRA) Waste

Federal (RCRA) Listed Waste Reference: WAC 173-303-081

Corresponding List: WAC 173-303-9903

Discarded chemical products have to meet the following criteria:

- ➤ Unused
- > Sole active ingredient (not mixtures)
- Specifically listed in WAC 173-303-9903 in two categories: Acutely Dangerous Chemical Products, which are designated as extremely hazardous waste (EHW); and moderately dangerous chemical products, which are designated as dangerous waste (DW).

Discarded chemical products include:

- > Commercial chemical products
- > Off-specification chemical products
- > Spill cleanup of materials designated as a discarded chemical product
- Residue left in containers of "Acutely Dangerous Chemical Products."

If a waste qualifies as a discarded chemical product, the corresponding waste number shall be entered in the appropriate section of the waste designation form.

4.1 Dangerous Waste Sources (RCRA)

Reference: WAC 173-303-082

Corresponding List: WAC 173-303-9904

Dangerous waste sources are those wastes which consist of spent materials. Specific criteria must be met in order for a waste to be designated as a source waste, such as 100% concentration, etc. Consult section WAC 173-303-9904 for these criteria. Dangerous waste sources are divided into two categories:

- Non-specific sources which are generically listed in WAC 173-303-9904 and given waste numbers F____.
- Specific sources are processes specifically listed in WAC 173-303-9904 and given waste numbers K____.

If a waste qualifies as a dangerous waste source, the corresponding waste number shall be entered in the appropriate section of the waste designation form.

4.2 Federal (RCRA) Waste Characteristics

4.2.1 Characteristic of Ignitability

Reference WAC 173-303-090(5)

An ignitable waste must possess one of the following characteristics:

- > Liquid waste with a flashpoint <140F.
- Solid waste capable of ignition through spontaneous combustion, absorption of moisture, etc. and when ignited burns so vigorously that it creates a hazard (e.g. elemental sodium).
- > Compressed gases capable of ignition.
- Oxidizer waste as defined in 49 CFR 173.151 (e.g. chlorates, nitrates, permanganates, and inorganic peroxides.)

A waste which possesses one of the characteristics listed above shall be designated as ignitable waste and the D001 waste number shall be entered in the appropriate section of the waste designation form.

4.2.2 Characteristic of Corrosivity

Reference: WAC 173-090(6)

A corrosive waste must possess one of the following characteristics:

- ➤ It is aqueous and has a pH<2 or >12.5
- Solids or semi-solids, when mixed with an equal amount of water, produces a solution having an applicable pH (Ecology).
- Corrodes steel at a rate of >0.25 in/yr (6.35mm) @ 130F.

A waste which possesses one of the characteristics listed above shall be designated as corrosive waste and D002 or WSC2 shall be entered in the appropriate section of the waste designation form. The WSC2 waste code is a Washington State-only code.

4.2.3 Characteristic of Reactivity

Reference: WAC 173-303-090(7)

A reactive waste must possess one of the following characteristics:

- A cyanide or sulfide bearing waste
- Water reactive waste which reacts violently with water, forms a potentially explosive mixture with water, or generates toxic gases or fumes when mixed with water.
- Unstable waste which readily undergoes violent change without detonation, detonates or explodes under standard temperature and pressure, or detonates or explodes if subjected to heat or initiating source, or is explosive waste.

A waste which possesses one of the characteristics listed above shall be designated as reactive waste and D003 shall be entered in the appropriate section of the waste designation form.

4.2.4 Characteristic of Toxicity

Reference: WAC 173-303-090(8)

The Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) Test Method (40 CFR 261 Appendix II) is used to determine if a chemical has the ability to leach from a compound, mixture, or solution. The leachate is then submitted for analysis to determine concentrations in the extract.

The results of a TCLP analysis are compared to the Toxicity Characteristics List found in WAC 173-303-090(7). If levels from the TCLP analysis are equal to or greater than the values listed in the table, the waste must be designated as a dangerous waste and the corresponding number from the table entered in the appropriate space on the waste designation form.

5.0 Washington State Dangerous Waste Criteria

5.1 Washington State Toxicity

Reference: WAC 173-303-100

For designation of Washington state toxicity, four test methods are used. The methods are fish LC 50, oral rat LD 50, inhalation rat LC 50, and dermal rabbit LD 50. The required reference to determine these doses can be found in the NIOSH Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances (RTECS). To determine if a chemical is classified as toxic, the toxicity data from RTECS is compared to the Toxic Category as listed in section WAC 173-303-100(5). After determining the toxicity, the Equivalent Concentration must be calculated using the calculation as it appears on the waste designation form. If the calculation is greater than 0.001%, the chemical shall be managed as WT02, dangerous waste. If the EC calculation is greater than 1.0%, the waste shall be managed as WT01 extremely hazardous waste.

5.2 Washington State Persistent Dangerous Waste

Reference WAC 173-303-100(6)

Persistent dangerous waste is divided into two sections, halogenated hydrocarbons (HH) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). The definitions for each of these may be found in the definitions section, WAC 173-303-040. Persistent waste shall be compared to the table as listed in WAC 173-303-100(6) to determine the appropriate waste number, WP01 for EHW, WP02 for DW, and WP03 for PAH. The applicable waste number shall be added to the waste designation form.

5.3 Process Knowledge

Reference WAC 173-303-300

If a detailed analysis of the waste is not needed because there is sufficient process knowledge available to designate the waste, a justification that process knowledge was used as the basis for the waste designation shall be included on the waste management designation form. Process knowledge includes Material Safety Data Sheets, engineering calculations and material balances, or other available generator's knowledge of the waste.

Attachment B



WASTE MATERIAL PROFILE SHEET

Clean Harbors Profile No. CH304338

A. GENERAL INFORMATION
GENERATOR EPA ID #/REGISTRATION # WAD990828402

GENERATOR CODE (Assigned by Clean Harbors) AR1688 ADDRESS 2101 Horn Rapids Road

GENERATOR NAME: CITY Richland

Areva NP

STATE/PROVINCE WA ZIP/POSTAL CODE 99354

CUSTOMER CODE (Assigned by Clean Harbors) AR1688 ADDRESS 2101 Horn Rapids Road

CUSTOMER NAME: CITY Richland

Areva NP STATE/PROVINCE WA

ZIP/POSTAL CODE 99354

B. WASTE DESCRIPTION
WASTE DESCRIPTION: AREVA Profile

PROCESS GENERATING WASTE (Phoso provide description of process generaling world)

| HYSICAL STATE SOLID WITHOUT FREE POWDER MONOLITHIC SOLID LIQUID WITH NO SOLI LIQUID-SOLID MIXTUR SFREE LIQUID | os | NUMBER OF PHASESTA 1 2 3 % BY YOLUME (Approx.) | Yers Top Asodle Bottom | DLE 0.00 101 - \$40 (e.g. MOTOR OIL) TIOM 0.00 501 - 10,000 (e.g. MOLASSES) > 10,000 | | COLOR | |
|--|--|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| % SETTLED SOLID | % SETTLED SOLID % TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLID SLUDGE | | ODOR NONE STRONG N | | < 140 149-2 | VELTING POINT 'F ("C) CAF (140 (<69) 140 200 (60-93) > 200 (>93) | |
| FLASH POINT *F (°C) < 73 (<73) 73 - 109 (23 33) 101 - 140 (38 60) 141 - 200 (60 93) > 200 (>93) | pH <= 2 21-69 7 (Nexts) 71-124 >= 125 | SPECIFIC GRAVITY <08 (e.g. Goso're) 08-10 (e.g. Ethand) 10 (e.g. Water) 10-12 (e.g. Arkfreeze) >12 (e.g. Arkfreeze) | | < 0.1 01-10 1.1-50 51-200 | > 20 Urknown Actuat | Uržnovn 5,000 10,0 | |
| | | | ΥΛ | POR PRESSURE | (for liquids only) | am H _J | |

(List the complete composition of the waste, include any inert components and for definis. Ranges for individual components are acceptable. If a bade name is used, please supply on MSDS. Please do not use attractations.) O COMPOSITION

Chemical

MIN -- MAX UOM

ANY WETAL OBJECTS PRESENT?

YES

Ю

If yes include dimen

CleanHarbors

Clean Harbors Profile No. CH304338

| RCRA | REGULATED METALS | REGULATORY | TCLP | TOTAL. | OTHER METALS | Ξ | MIN | MAX | UOM |
|-------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| CORR | REGULATED METALS | LEVEL (mg/l) | mg/l | ppm | ALUMENUM | , | MILES | m/Os | OUM |
| 13)4 | ARSENIC | 50 | - | •• | ANTEMONY | • • • • • • • | | ••••• | • • • • • • |
| 005 | BAR:UU | 160.0 | | | | | | • • • • • • • | |
| 006 | CADMIUM | 1.0 | •• | | BERYLLIUM | · · · · · · · | | | |
| | . | | • • • • • • • • • | | . CALCIUM | | | | |
| 907 | CHROMUM | 5.0 | | | _ COPPER | | | | |
| xX:8 | LEAD | 5.0 | | | . MAGNESIUM | | | | |
| 009 | MERCURY | 0.2 | | | MOLYBOENUM | | ************ | | •••• |
| 1010 | SELENIUM | 1.0 | | | NICKEL | | | | |
| 011 | SAVER | 50 | | | POTASSIUM | • • • • • • • | | • • • • • • • | • • • • • • |
| OI ATI | LE COMPOUNDS | | | | SILICON | | | | |
| osa. | BENZENE | 0.5 | | | *********** | | *********** | | |
| **** | <i>,</i> | | | ••••• | SODIUM | | | | |
| 319 | CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | 05 | | | THATTION | | | | |
| 021 | CHLOROBENZENE | 100.0 | | | TON | | | | |
| 022 | CHLOROFORM | 60 | | | VANADOM | | | | |
| 028 | 12-DICHLOROETHANE | 05 | | | ZINC | • • • • • • • | | • • • • • • • • | |
| X029 | 1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE | 07 | ******* | | ************ | | | • • • • • • • | |
| 035 | METHYL ETHYL KETONE | 200.0 | | | * HON METALS | | | | |
| 033 | TETRACHLOROETHYLENE | 0,7 | | • • • • • • • • • | * BROMINE | | | | |
| | | | • • • • • • • • • | | CHLORINE | | | | • • • • • • |
| 640 | TRICHLOROEDMIENE | 0.5 | | | * FLUORINE | • • • • • • | | ••••• | • • • • • • |
| 043 | VINYL CHLORIDE | 02 | * * * * * * * * * * * | | HODINE | | ******* | | • • • • • • |
| EMI-V | OLATILE COMPOUND | | | | | | | | <i></i> . |
| Q23 | o-CRESOL | 200.0 | | | SULFUR | | | | |
| 024 | m-CRESOL | 200 0 | | | • • | | | | |
| 025 | p-CRESOL | 200 0 | | | OTHER NON- | | | | |
| | | 200.0 | | | METALS | | | | |
| 026 | CRESOL (TOTAL) | | | | ANMONIA | | | | |
| 027 | 1,4 DXHLOROBENZENE | | | | REACTIVE SULFIC | E | | | |
| 03) | 2,4-DIMIROFOLUENE | 013 | | | GYANIDE-TOTAL | | | | |
| 032 | HEXACHLOROBENZENE | 0.13 | | | CYANIDE AMENAI | 3LE | | | |
| 033 | HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE | 0.5 | | | CYAN DE REACTI | Æ | ************* | ******* | |
| K034 | HEXACHLORGETHANE | 30 | | | ,, | | | | • • • • • • |
| 035 | NTROSENZENE | 20 | | | OTHER CHEMI | CALS | | | |
| | | | | | . PHENOL | | | | |
| :037 | PENTACHLOROPHENOL | 1000 | | | Total Petroleum H | drocarba | 19 | | |
| 033 | PYRIDEIE | 50 | | | OTHER | 1 | | | |
| 341 | 2,4,5 TRICHLOROPHENOL | 400 0 | | | | | | | |
| G12 | 2.4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL | 20 | | | HOCs | | PCBs | | |
| ESTIC | IDES AND HERBICIDES | | | | NONE | | NOME | | |
| 012 | ENDRIN | 0.02 | | | < 1000 P | PAI I | < 50 PPM | | |
| 013 | LANDAME | 0.4 | | • • • • • • • • • | == 10001 | | >:50 PPM | | |
| | | | | | | ''' | | | |
| 914 | METHOXYCHLCR | 19.0 | | | | - 1 | IF PCBS ARE PRES WASTE REGULATE | | |
| 015 | TOYAPHENE | 0.5 | | | | | CFR 751? | DBI 13CM | 10 |
| 016 | 2,4-0 | ton | | | | - 1 | | | |
| 51 <i>7</i> | 2.4,5-YP (SILVEX) | 10 | | | • | 1 | YES | 80 | |
| 000 | CHLOROANE | 0.03 | ****** | | • | | | | |
| 331 | HEPTACHLOR (AND ITS EPOX) | | | | . * | | | | |
| DES TH | NAL RAZAROS IIS WASTE HAVE ANY UNDISCLOS | SED HAZARDS OR PRIO | RING GENTS AS | SOCIATEDWA | HIT WHICH COULD | AFFECT | THE WAY IT SHOULD | BE PVMOLEC | 92 |
| YE | | | | | | | | | |
| | ASBESTOS | | MOKING WAST | | | RADIO: | | | |
| | CEA REGULATED SUBSTANCE | E INFECTIOU | S, PATHOGEN! | C GRETICLO | GROAL AGENT | REDUC | ING AGENI | | |
| | D:OX#¥ | ONDIZER | | | | SHOCK | (\$ENS(TIVE | | |
| | EXFLOSIVE | OSHA REG | ULATED CARCE | NOGENS | | SPONT | ANEOUSLY KINITES! | SIA HEE | |
| | HERBICIDE | PEST:CIDE | | | | THERM | MALLY SENSITIVE | | |
| | NOAE OF THE ABOVE | POLYMERY | | | | | REACTIVE | | |

| Tear | Hari | ors <u>c</u> | lean Harbors | Profile No |). CH30 | 4338 | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| F. REGULATO | \DY \$T&T | .iq | | | | | | | | |
| | V NO | usepa hazardous | WASTE? | | | | | | | |
| | | £ | | | | | | | | |
| YES | V NO | DO ANY STATE WAS | E CODES APPLY? | | | | XXXXXXX | 1 | | |
| | | Teras Waste Code | | | | | | | | |
| YES | NO | | CENTED FROM LAND OF | SPOSAL WITHOUT | FURTHER TRE | EATMENT PER 40 CF8 | PART 2657 | | | |
| | | LOR CATEGORY. | Control of the second of the s | | A LACE TO LINE INTERNATIONAL | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | |
| YES | 80 | VARIANCE INFO IS THE A UNIVERSA | | | | | | | | |
| itta | 110 | WASTET | • | | | | | | | |
| YE3 | NO | AWSTEAW A BATT SI | TER PER 40 CFR PART | 26A 27 | | | | | | |
| YES | NO | IF ANY WASTE CODE WASTEWATERS, OR STANDARDS (UTS)? | IF ANY WASTE CODES 5001, 0002, 0003 (OTHER THAN REACTIVE CYANDE OR REACTIVE SULFIDE), DOM D0011, D012 0011 NON WASTEWATERS OR D018: D043 APFLY, ARE ANY UNDERLYING HAZARDOUS (UHCs) PRESENT ABOVE UNIVERSAL TREATMENT STANDARDS (UT5)7 | | | | | | | |
| YES | NO | DOES TREATMENT O | F THIS WASTE GENER | ATE A FOOS OR FO | 19 SLUDGE? | | | | | |
| YEŞ | NO | IS THIS WASTE SUB. | is this waste subject to categorical pretreatment discharge standards? | | | | | | | |
| | | of yes, specify no | NT SOURCE CATEGOR | Y LISTED &I 40 CF | RPART401 L | | | | | |
| YES | NO | NO 19 THIS WASTE REQUIATED UNDER THE BENZENE NESHAP RULES? (IS THIS WASTE FROM A CHEMICAL MANUFACTURING, COKE BY-PRODUCT RECOVERY, OR PETROLEUM REF MEMP PROCESSY) IF YES, IS THE GENERATOR'S TOTAL ASPULAL ESCRENE'S > 10 NEGAGRAMS? YES NO | | | | | | | | |
| YES | KO | DOES THIS WASTE O | ONTAIN VOC'S IN CON | CENTRATIONS > + | 900 PPM? | | | | | |
| YES | 80 | OGES DIE WASTE C | DOES THE WASTE CONTAIN GREATER THAN 20% OF ORGANIC CONSTITUENTS WITH A VAPOR PRESSURE >= 3KPA (044 PSA)? | | | | | | | |
| | 410 | DOES THIS WASTE CONTAIN AN ORGANIC CONSTITUENT WHICH WHITS PURE FORWHAS A VAPOR PRESSURE GREATER THAY | | | | | | | | |
| YES | NO | 77 KPa (11 2FSIA)? | 77 KPa (11 2FSIA)? IS THIS CERCUA REGULATED (SUPERFUND) WASTE ? | | | | | | | |
| YES | NO. | | BULATED (SUPERFUND ULATED UNDER THE O | • | e1 me741220 4 | CT COD OUT FRIOT | | | | |
| YES | NO | | ULATED UNDER THE O | ZUNE DEPLETIVE | SUBSTRUCE N | CITOROGIA | | | | |
| L DOT/TOG | | | | | | | | | | |
| ORG DONTO | OFER SHE | PING NAME. | | | | | | | | |
| ESTAMATED S | SHIPWENT FOOR BU | REQUIREMENTS FREQUENCY CAS TE IK SOLID PLEASE DIICA' HTAINERIZED | E THE EXPEGTED NUM | HLY QUARTERL BER OF LOADS PI BULK LIQUED | er shippans f | OTHER REQUENCY. | BULK SOLED | 0.0 | | |
| 0-0 C | | ERS/SHIPMENT | Gallons ship vent | | | SHIPPIPENT UOM | TON | YARD | | |
| TÇRAGE CA | | | FROM TANKS 1 FROM DRUMS | ANK SIZE | GAL | PER SHIFMENT: STORAGE CAPACII | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | MAX TONEYO | | |
| ONTAINER | TYPE: IC YARO E | Ω¥ | VEHICLE TYPE | | | **** | | | | |
| PALL | | | VAC TRUCK | | | VEHICLE TYPE | | | | |
| | E TANK | | TANK TRUCK RAKROAD TA | 135 CAR | | DUMP TR | ALER | | | |
| OTH | ι | | | | | ROLL OF F | EOX. | | | |
| DRU | U SIZE | | CHECK COMPATIBLE | STORAGE MATER | UALS | INTERMO | DAL ROLLOFF BOX | | | |
| ONTAINER | | : | STEEL | STAINL | ESS STEEL | cuscory | ACTOR | | | |
| SIEE | | | Rubber Laiei | D FIBERG | LASSINCO | OTHER | | ļ | | |
| FÆEF PLAS | • | | DERAKANE | <u>. l</u> | ······································ | | | Ì | | |
| OTH€ | | | OHER | | | i | t | | | |
| | | | | | | A STATE OF THE STA | | | | |
| PECIAL REC | | estrictions on requ | ESIS | | | | | | | |
| | | NING REQUIREMENTS | 2010 | | | | | | | |
| | | REQUESTS | | | | | | | | |
| | | FORTING INFORMATIO | ! | | | | | | | |
| SC CODE | | | RCE CODE | | FORM CODE | | | | | |
| SAUPLE STA | ATUS | | YES | SAUPLEOBY | DATE SAMP | LEO WHERE | ENT | | | |
| | | vale has been | ko | | | | | | | |
| submitted are | ly that all in Propresent | ATION formation submitted in this stup of the actual vaste. I vay to amend the profile, o | f Clean Harbors discover | a discresiancy duri | ng the approval | process, Generalar gra | মু ১৬০কু হৈছ গ্রে | | | |
| | - | ery 10 km = 1 t = pt = 2, 5 GNATURE | NAME (PRRIT) | and the same of th | | TLE | E | Ate | | |
| | - | | | | | | | | | |

EHS&L Document Environmental Protection – Miscellaneous Reports Waste Analysis Plan

Attachment C Waste Data Table

| WMD LDR Treatment | Treatment Treatment standard Facility | SPC 93-01 Deactivation Ammonia Recovery Facility (ARF) | SPC 93-02 N/A ARF, POTW | SPC 93-03 Deactivation ARF, POTW | SPC 94-74 Deactivation ARF, POTW | SPC 93-12 N/A ARF, POTW | A11/A | WO NA POIW | N/A |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|------------|---|
| Constituents/ | | | ammonium fluoride SPC sodium fluoride aluminum nitrate tributylphosphate | sodium nitrate SPC nitric acid tributy/phosphate | nitric acid SPC | ammonium nitrate SPC ammonium hydroxide | ammonium thiosulfate SPC 93-71 | | |
| Hazardous Properties of | Waste | Corrosive | State-Toxic | Соповіле | Сопозіле | State-Toxic | State-Toxic | | State-Toxic |
| Waste Code(s) | | D002 | WT02 | D002 | D002 | WT02 | WT02 | | WT02 |
| Basis for Hazard | Classification | Laboratory Analysis | Laboratory Analysis | Laboratory Analysis | Laboratory Analysis, field analysis | Laboratory Analysis | Laboratory Analysis | | Laboratory Analysis |
| Process Generating | Waste | Solvent Extraction/ Uranium purification | Solvent Extraction/ Uranium Purification | Solvent Extraction/ Uranium Purification | Solvent Extraction/ Uranium purification | Scrap "Wet" uranium conversion | Metallurgy Lab X-ray film developing | 200 | Ammonia Recovery Facility operation |
| Wastes | | Solvent Extraction Raffinate | Solvent Extraction Carbonate Wash | Solvent Extraction Acid Wash | Solvent Extraction scrubber waste | ADU Scrap Conversion | X-ray fixer solution | | ARF Effluent |

EHS&L Document Environmental Protection – Miscellaneous Reports Waste Analysis Plan

| <u>.</u> | nemt lity | | | pea | - | | ± |)± | o #1 | u* |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| atmen | Treatment Facility | POTW | PBR, POTW | ARF feed | ARF, POTW | POTW | Offsite TSD, DWSF; mixed waste | Offisite TSD, DWSF if mixed waste | Offsite TSD, DWSFif mixed waste | DWSF, mixed waste |
| LDR Treatment | Treatment standard | N/A | Deactivation | N/A | N/A | N/A | 30 mg/l 160 mg/l 10 mg/l 33 mg/l | 30 mg/l 160 mg/l 10 mg/l 33 mg/l | 30 mg/l 160 mg/l 10 mg/l 33 mg/l | 30 mg/l |
| WIMD | | SPC 94-70 B | SPC 94-71 | SPC 94-84 | SPC 96-3 | SPC 94-75 | SPC 93-56 | SPC 94-49 | SPC 94-49 | SPC 93-48 |
| Chemical Constituents/ | Analytical Parameters | ammonium carbonate | sodium hydroxide sulfuric acid | ammonium fluoride ammonium ritrate ammonium hydroxide | sodium fluoride | sodium fluoride | xylene acetone toluene MEK | xylene acetone toluene MEK | xylene acetone toluene MEK | Freon |
| Hazardous | Maste | State-Toxic | Corrosive | State-toxic | State-toxic | State-toxic | Toxic | ignitable, Toxic | Ignitable, Toxic | Toxic |
| Waste | cone(s) | WT02 | D002 | WT02 | WT02 | WT02 | F003 F005 D035 | F003, F005 | F003, F005, D035 | F002 |
| Basis for | Classification | Laboratory Analysis | Laboratory Analysis | Laboratory Analysis | Process knowledge | Laboratory Analysis | Process knowledge, MSDS sheets | Process knowledge, MSDS | Process knowledge, MSDS | Process knowledge, laboratory analysis |
| Process | Generaung Waste | I/X Column uranium removal | Plant deionized water facility | ADU Conversion wastes | Plant analytical support | Dry Conversion facility waste effluent | Miscellaneous degreasing activities | Facility painting operations | Facility painting operations | Historic dry cleaning operation |
| Wastes | Generaled | I/X Regeneration | DIW regeneration effluent | MURS effluent | Laboratory waste | Dry Conversion Facility Effluent | Solvent Rags (satellite accumulation) | Solid Paint Waste (satellite accumulation) | Liquid Paint Waste (satellite accumulation) | Freon contaminated filters/sludge |

EHS&L Document Environmental Protection – Miscellaneous Reports Waste Analysis Plan

| | | · | | | | | ·- | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| atment | Treatment Facility | DWSF, Offsite TSD | DWSF, Offsite TSD | Offsite TSD | Offsite TSD | Safety- Kleen | Safety- Kleen | Offsite TSD |
| LDR Treatment | Treatment standard | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.75 mg/l | 6.0 mg/l | 0.11 mg/l 0.75 mg/l 6.0 mg/l 6.0 mg/l | N/A |
| WMD | | SPC 94-43 | SPC 94-44 | Location dependent | WMD 09-07 | SPC 00-27 | SPC 00-27 | SPC 96-18 |
| Chemical Constituents/ | Analytical Parameters | nítric acid | ammonia fluoride | ammonia fluoride nitrates | lead | tetrachloroethylene | cadmium lead tetrachloroethylene trichloroethylene | hydrofluoric acid |
| Hazardous Properties of | Waste | State-only corrosive and toxic | State-only toxic | State-only toxic | Toxic | Toxic | Toxic | State-only Corrosive |
| Waste Code(s) | | WSC2, WT02 | WT02 | WT02 | D008 | D039 | D006, D008, D039, D040 | WSC2, WT02 |
| Basis for Hazard | Classification | Process knowledge, field analysis, laboratory analysis | Laboratory analysis | Laboratory analysis, process knowledge | Process knowledge | MSDS sheets, vendor information | MSDS sheets, vendor information | Process knowledge |
| Process Generating | Waste | Uranium contaminated waste (mops, filters, rags, etc.) from operations | Uranium contaminated waste (mops, filters, rags, etc.) from operations | Room air filters for radionuclide control | Lead collected in satellite containers | Cleaning and degreasing in Safety-Kleen supplied equipment | Cleaning and degreasing in Safety-Kleen supplied equipment | Wiping off HF download fittings |
| Wastes Generated | | Nitric acid contaminated "wet" waste (satellite accumulation) | Ammonia, fluoride contaminated waste (satellite accumulation) | HEPA and Prefilters (satellite accumulation) | Pead | Safety-Kleen petroleum naptha solvent | Safety-Kleen immersion cleaner | Hydrofluoric acid contaminated rags (satellite accumulation) |

EHS&L Document Environmental Protection – Miscellaneous Reports Waste Analysis Plan

| | ¥ 115 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| atment | Treatment Facility | Offsite TSD | Offsite TSD | Offsite TSD | Offsite TSD | Offsite TSD | Offsite TSD | Offsite TSD |
| LDR Treatment | Treatment standard | N/A | N/A | CMBST | Deactivation | N/A | Meet 268.48 standards | Meet 268.48 standards |
| WMD | | SPC 97-66 | SPC 97-58 | SPC 93-52 | SPC 93-08 | SPC 93-23 | WMD 01-29 | SPC 92-24 |
| Chemical Constituents/ | Analytical Parameters | sodium hydroxide | calcium fluoride | isopropanol | nitric acid aluminum nitrate | ethylene glycol monobutyl ether | Mercury | RCRA metals |
| Hazardous Properties of | Waste | State-only Corrosive | State-only Toxic | Ignitable | Corrosive | State-only toxic | Toxic | Toxic, Corrosive |
| Waste Code(s) | conce(s) | WSC2 | WT02 | D001 | D002 | WT02 | 600G | RCRA metals |
| Basis for | Classification | Process knowledge, field testing | Process knowledge | MSDS sheet | Laboratory analysis, process knowledge | MSDS sheet, field analysis | Laboratory analysis | Process knowledge |
| Process | Waste | Maintenance and cleanup of sodium hydroxide contaminated eouioment | Scrubber on hydrofluoric acid storage tanks | Miscellaneous cleaning and degreasing | Component Shop pickling operation | Plant wide floor stripping | Fluorescent tube crushing | Laboratory equipment |
| Wastes | Generated | Sodium hydroxide contaminated waste | Calcium fluoride filter media | Isopropyl Alcohol (satellite accumulation) | Component pickle solution | Wax stripper solution (satellite accumulation) | Mercury Contaminated Filter | Laboratory Metal |

Attachment D Suggested Sampling Equipment for Particular Waste Types and Locations

| | | WASTE LOCATION | ON | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Waste Type | Drums | Tanks | Soil | Process Sample Ports | | |
| Free-flowing liquids and slurries | Coliwasa | Coliwasa Dipper Weighted bottle | 201 00 00 00 00 00 | Sample bottle | | |
| Sludges | Thief Scoop | Thief Scoop | | | | |
| Sand, Soil | Auger Scoop | | Auger Scoop | | | |
| Large grained solids | Auger | | Auger | | | |
| Powders or Granules | Thief Scoop | Thief Scoop | Thief Scoop | | | |

Attachment E

Waste Management Direction


| A | Waste Management Direction Generator/Traffic Copy |
|---|--|
| Waste Stream; AREVA - WAP Attachment | WMD Number: 00 |
| Waste Generation: િ Batch ા Rou | itine Satellito Number: <u>00</u> |
| Waste Category | <u>Disposition</u> |
| Municipal Waste | Satellite Accumulation |
| Dangerous Waste | Dangerous Waste Pad |
| Low Level Radioactive Waste | US Ecology [: |
| High Count Radioactive Waste | \mathbf{y}_i |
| Mixed Waste = Dangerous + Radioactive Lit | |
| Disposal / Management of Waste Attachment for Waste Analysis Plan. | |
| Applicable Procedure: | Assay Method: N/A |
| Procedure Revision Required Yes | Drum Assay [1] |
| Inventory Sheet Required Yes Vi | Chemical Assay [] No HST Survey [] |
| | |
| Required Labels/Markings | |
| i) Toxic WT02 (DW) | WT01 (EHW) () |
| Persistent WP02 (DW) | WP01 (EHW) (_) WP03 (DW) [] |
| i Ignitable D001 | |
| Corrosive D002 Fi WSC2 | |
| Reactive D003 | |
| [Hazardous Waste | |
| : Mixed Waste | |
| □ Radioactive Waste Transport Markings (Radi | |
| TCLP Toxicity • TCLP Constitue | ent: |
| Discarded Chemical - | (*) Other. |
| Source Waste - | |
| C QC Release | ☐ Accumulation Start Date |
| Waste Processing Technical Support: | Date |
| Environmental Engineer, EHSL: | Date |

Attachment F

Environmental Sample Label

| ENVIRONME & TECHNO 200 B.J. TUNNELL B | PICHER ENTAL SCIENCE DLOGY DEPT. ELVD., MIAMI, OK 74354 -331-7425 | Specially Cleaned Sample Container Lot #: | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| DATE: | TIME: | COLLECT BY: | ED | | | |
| SAMPLING SITE: | | | | | | |
| SAMPLE TYPE | : Composite □Othe | r ——— | | | | |
| TESTS REQUI | RED: | | PRESERVATIVE | | | |
| O | | | , | | | |

Attachment G Chain-of-Custody Record





Attachment H

Custody Seal

| AREVA | |
|---|--|
| AREVA NP INC. | |
| 2101 Horn Rapids Road Richland, WA 99354 | |
| то: | |
| Authorized Signature | |

Attachment I Summary of Sample Preservatives, Holding Times, and Analytical Methods

| Analyte | USEPA Method | Container | Preservative (1) | Holding Time |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Ammonia as Nitrogen | 350.3 | 250 ml polyethylene or glass | H2SO4 to pH<2 (preserve liquids only) cool 4 C | 28 days |
| Fluoride | 300.0 | 250 ml polyethylene or glass | Cool 4 C | 28 days |
| Nitrate as Nitrogen | 300.0 | 250 ml polyethylene or glass | H2SO4 to pH<2 (preserve liquids only) cool 4 C | 28 days |
| рН | NA | Plastic or Glass | NA | 1 day |
| TCLP Metals | 1311, 6000 or 7000 series | 250 ml polyethylene or glass | Cool 4 C | 180 days, mercury 28 days |
| TCLP Organics | 1311, 8021C, 8260B, 8270C | Liquids 40 mm Glass VOA Vials, solids 250 ml glass | Cool 4 C | 14 days to extraction + 14 days for final analysis |
| Semivolatile Organics | 8270 C | Liquids 40 mm glass VOA vials, solids 250 ml glass | Cool 4 C | 14 days |
| Volatile Organics | 8260 B | Liquids 40 mm glass VOA vials, solids 250 ml glass | Cool 4 C | 14 days |

(1) "Cool 4 C" indicates that the sample must be cooled to 4 degrees centigrade

H2SO4 Sulfuric Acid ml Milliliter

NA Not Applicable

USEPA U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

Attachment J

| CleanHarbors |
|------------------------------|
| ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. |
| MANIFEST INFORMATION |

Land Disposal Restriction

Page 1 of 1

| ENVIRONME | NAL SERVICE | S, INC. | Notification | on Form Print Date | : 12/13/2007 |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Generator: | Areva NP | | Property and the second of the | Manifest No | |
| Address: | 2101 Horn | Rapids Road | | 001846068FLE | |
| | Richland, V | VA 99354 | | Sales Order No: D11713920 | |
| EPA ID#: | W A D 9 9 | 0828402 | | | |
| LINE ITEM | INFORMATI | ON | | | |
| Line Item: | Page No: | Profile No: | Treatability Group: | LDR Disposal Category: | |
| 1 | 1 | CH277916 | NON- WASTEWATER | 2 ; This is subject to LDR. | |
| EPA Waste | Codes | | | EPA Waste Subcategory gnitables, except High TOC Liquids | |
| Line Item: | Page No: | Profile No: | Treatability Group: | LOR Disposal Category: | |
| 2 | 1 | CH278806 | NON-WASTEWATER | 2 : This is subject to LDR. | |
| EPA Wasto | Codes | | | EPA Waste Subcategory goitables, except tegh TOC Liquids | |
| : | | | Certification | | Applies to Manifest Line Items |
| Pursuant to | 40 CFR 26 | 58.7(a), I hereby no | olify that this shipment cont | oins waste restricted under 40 CFR Part 268 | 1 2 |
| This waste | is not restri | cled as specified in | n 40 CFR 268 Subpart D. | | 3 |
| Waste ana | lysis dala, | where avallable, l | s allached | Blackwaren was stated to have been been been been as the second of the s | |
| Signature: | | | Print Na | mė: | |

Date:

Attachment K

| P'a: | sa pa | at or type. (Form desig | ned for use on eite (12-pith) | Speanler) | | | | | | O bevores | MB No. 205 | 50-0039 |
|-----------|--------|---|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|----------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------|--|---------|
| 1 | UNE | FORM HAZARDOUS ASTE MANIFEST | 1 General i D Number | 2 Page | of 3 Energ | yensy Response | Phone | | 129 | | JJI | K |
| | 5. G4 | nergrows Name and Mark | y Asserta | | Gereral | r's Sto Address | (र ८० सकते हैं | en maling addre | ं ड} | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 |
| | George | ratifa Profe | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| l | 5. To | especial Consuly Non | å | | | | | U S. EFAID | HATEN | | | |
| | 7 Tr | enyers a 2 Congany Nati | 7 | | | | | USTAN | Narber | | | |
| | | | | | | | | l | | | | |
| | ô (la | isgnared Facility Name en | d Sta Address | | | | | US EFA® | Nanker | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| П | Fa= | ty's Phone. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 33 | | to (including Proper Shipping Nar | ra, Hazard Cass, 10 Nurtha, | ļ | 10 Contai | | Ountly | 12 (54 | 13 W | ato Cosss | |
| | 1-14 | 1 | 5-1-9 | | | No. | Type | Quet.ij | + | Т | | |
| ě | | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| GENERATOR | L | | | | | | | · | | | | |
| S | | ľ | | | 1 | | | | 1 1- | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| П | | 3 | | | 1 | | | | | | TO A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF T | |
| I | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ļ | ļ | | | | *************************************** | | | | | | |
| П | | | | | | | | | 1 1 | | †· | |
| | 14 5 |] ceris Hard og hvatskist | s and Assistonal information | | | | I | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 |
| | 15 | GENERATOR'S OFFERO | R'S CERTIFICATION: I Nireby | lecions that the contents of this consigni | est set hey e | rá scourately de | nose beards | ty the process | прогагате. | out 2.5 QTF3 | Sed, posta je | ડ |
| | 1 | Executed Ecological Statistics | various of this consequent cost | ger condition for bassport according to CAARA before the first formed by ARA | na estament | of Consert | | | s. a tripor susp | -eura er i in | របស់ ក្រុង - ក្រុង ក | |
| | | Lookidy that the waste min relate Officials Francistry | | Q CFR 262 27(a) (41 am a large quartity | generativ) or Signatura | (b) (at em a sm | n dra.c.) do | rerator) is the | | W wen | r _{ef} | \$74.2m |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Į, | 1 | zerostona Shqirtana | Пирминия | □ Eupent | on US | Period en | | | | | | |
| 2 | | ekoter kyroval (ili kote ekoter kyroval (ili kote | | | | Pala istr | 7905 | | | | | |
| FTE | | yesy i Pasted Type i Na | | | Sgratura | | | | | Wath | De, | स्ट |
| OdS: | ļ | çota (Partsolyse) Ne | | ACAMETRIC MARKET | Sonature | | | | | More | (00) | Yeu |
| N V | l/am | doma Naccies (Nees) as | C+¢ | | 20000 | | | | | 1 | | |
| Ť | 13 0 | stregarcy | | | | | | | | · | | |
| | 183 | Disaepsyly Indication Sp | ¥6 [] 0.€%; | ∏ty;• | | Pesidua | | Passa R | sjerotr | |] Full Pepsio | or |
| | | | | | 1,6- | ndest Referens | a No mitter | | | | | |
| _ | 155 | Ammato Facility (or Gener | चंदा | | 4 | 500 Latin Asia | | US EFATO | Rate | | | " |
| FACIL | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| ED F3 | F 1000 | l y a Photos. E grandra of Alexandra Fina | ing (a Georgia) | | | | | . 1 | | No. | E Say | Yes |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DESIGN | 19.3 | 200 Josef Weste Royal M | stagement Method Codes id a . c | edes karturardous maide bestruit da | ossi ardesi R | ning systems) | | - Ia | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | en de trades en en estambles | | |
| င် | ľ | | ĺ. | | ĺ | | | ľ | | | | |
| | e e | kolgadist Facility Danero | a Operator Certification of retain | tel Pazze dous materials covered by the | nanted rate; | das vielin be | as f€a | | | | | |
| | Fire | on Type of Name | | | Signature | | | | | West | ter | Year |
| Ļ | 1 | A700 00 Day 3 051 | Presions educes are obselet | A | necla | NATEO E | VOIL 13:34 | ro proxi | NATION (| 1 TATE (1) | 1 DEOU | LOED |

AREVA NP Inc.

E06 Environmental Protection E06-04 Miscellaneous Reports

E06-04-006 Version 4.0

Waste Analysis Plan

| Date (GMT) | Signed by |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| 09/10/2009 20:14:48 | Perryman, James |
| Authorization/Title | Document Author |
| 09/10/2009 21:28:41 | Maas, Loren |
| Authorization/Title | Licensing & Compliance Manager |
| 09/10/2009 21:39:56 | Krzan, Kaela |
| Authorization/Title | Document Control Approval |